

OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Excellent	N/A
2002	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Excellent	N/A

		Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	68.2	60.0	60.0	57.4	55.5	55.6	
Passed 2 subtests	15.2	20.5	16.4	19.7	19.7	20.3	
Passed 1 subtest	10.0	14.9	15.4	13.1	14.7	14.5	
Passed no subtests	6.6	4.7	7.7	9.8	10.1	9.3	

Rate by Spring 2003 Scholarships* Graduation Rate	PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
All Students 180 97.2 181 5.5 219 80.4 Gender Male 89 98.9 80 3.8 97 80.4 Female 91 95.6 101 6.9 122 80.3 Race or Ethnic Group African American 85 94.1 90 4.4 110 79.1 Hispanic 1 I/S 2 I/S 2 I/S White 91 100.0 87 6.9 104 83.7 Other 2 I/S 2 I/S 3 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant Proficiency Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Eligibility Scholar</th> <th>for LIFE ships*</th> <th>Graduati</th> <th>on Rate</th>				Eligibility Scholar	for LIFE ships*	Graduati	on Rate	
Gender Male 89 98.9 80 3.8 97 80.4 Female 91 95.6 101 6.9 122 80.3 Race or Ethnic Group African American 85 94.1 90 4.4 110 79.1 Hispanic 1 I/S 2 I/S 2 I/S White 91 100.0 87 6.9 104 83.7 Other 2 I/S 2 I/S 3 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 1 1/S 0 N/A English Proficiency 1 <th>All Students</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	All Students							
Female 91 95.6 101 6.9 122 80.3 Race or Ethnic Group African American 85 94.1 90 4.4 110 79.1 Hispanic 1 I/S 2 I/S 2 I/S 2 I/S White 91 100.0 87 6.9 104 83.7 Other 2 I/S 2 I/S 3 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 1 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency 1 1/S 0 N/A 1 1/S Non-LEP 177 97.2<		100	V7.L	101	0.0	210	00.1	
Race or Ethnic Group African American 85 94.1 90 4.4 110 79.1 Hispanic 1 I/S 2 I/S 2 I/S 2 I/S White 91 100.0 87 6.9 104 83.7 Other 2 I/S 2 I/S 3 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98	Male	89	98.9	80	3.8	97	80.4	
African American 85 94.1 90 4.4 110 79.1 Hispanic 1 I/S 2 I/S 2 I/S White 91 100.0 87 6.9 104 83.7 Other 2 I/S 2 I/S 3 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	Female	91	95.6	101	6.9	122	80.3	
Hispanic 1 I/S 2 I/S 2 I/S White 91 100.0 87 6.9 104 83.7 Other 2 I/S 2 I/S 3 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 1 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	Race or Ethnic Group							
White 91 100.0 87 6.9 104 83.7 Other 2 I/S 2 I/S 3 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency 1 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	,	85		90				
Other 2 I/S 2 I/S 3 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6		•		_		_		
Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	White	91				104		
Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 0 N/A 30 0.0 Students without disabilities 180 97.2 181 5.5 189 93.1 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	Other	2	I/S	2	I/S	3	I/S	
Migrant Status N/A N/A 0 N/A 1 1 0 N/A 1 1 0 N/A 1 1/S 0 N/A 1 1/S 1 1 1 0 N/A 1 1/S 1 80.7 0 N/A 1 1/S 1 80.7 0 N/A 1 1 1/S 1 1 0	Disability Status							
Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency Emplish Proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	Non-speech disabilities	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	30	0.0	
Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency User of the proficient of the proficient of the proficient of the profice	Students without disabilities	180	97.2	181	5.5	189	93.1	
Non-migrant N/A N/A 181 5.5 0 N/A English Proficiency Us Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	Migrant Status							
English Proficiency Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Limited English proficient 2 I/S 0 N/A 1 I/S Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	181	5.5	0	N/A	
Non-LEP 177 97.2 181 5.5 218 80.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	English Proficiency							
Lunch Status Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	Limited English proficient	2	I/S	0	N/A	1	I/S	
Subsidized meals 97 94.8 98 5.1 134 71.6	Non-LEP	177	97.2	181	5.5	218	80.7	
	Lunch Status							
Full-pay meals 83 100.0 83 6.0 85 94.1	Subsidized meals	97	94.8	98	5.1	134	71.6	
	Full-pay meals	83	100.0	83	6.0	85	94.1	

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	5.5	5.9
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	6.6	6.0
Seniors who met the grade point average	47.0	44.4

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Lakewood High 4302043

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,135)				
Retention rate	0.4%	Down from 10.4%	8.3%	7.3%
Attendance rate	95.2%	Up from 94.7%	95.4%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	Down from 1.9%	4.6%	5.1%
With disabilities other than speech	16.5%	Up from 12.1%	13.7%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	16.3%	Up from 13.9%	12.9%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	2.0%	Up from 1.0%	2.6%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.4%	Down from 2.7%	2.8%	2.7%
	9.4%	Down from 10.6%	3.6%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	r 673	Up from 596	309	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	16.1%	Up from 15.3%	19.3%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	67.6%	Up from 60.7%	70.8%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	97.0%	Up from 95.7%	97.2%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 58)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	46.6%	Up from 41.3%	42.4%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	74.1%	Down from 76.2%	75.1%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	75.9%	Down from 79.0%	81.8%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.2%	Down from 96.4%	95.8%	95.8%
	\$36,632	Up 2.4%	\$38,244	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	10.3 days	Up from 7.8 days	10.0 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 6.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	30.7 to 1	Up from 27.8 to 1	25.2 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.5%	No change	89.8%	90.1%
	\$5,604	Down 0.8%	\$6,770	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	53.4%	Up from 52.1%	53.1%	57.8%
	Good	Down from Excellent	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	51.5%	Up from 47.7%	85.3%	87.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	,00		,00	,00

* Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Lakewood High 4302043

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2002-2003 school year marked a year of growth and change for the students and staff. Despite the budget cuts, I am grateful for the administrative leadership and the dedication of the Lakewood staff. We implemented several initiatives targeted at increasing student achievement. "A Few Good Men" provided at-risk students with the opportunity to work primarily with a community mentor. SAT and ACT workshops offered students an innovative approach to improving their college entrance test scores and Drop Everything and Read placed an emphasis on daily reading. In an effort to improve our school climate, picnic tables were placed in the courtyards, an intramural program was implemented, televisions were placed in the cafeteria, seniors were issued reserved parking, weekly school-wide assemblies were held and home football games were played at Craig Field. The new "Honors' Room" and awards jackets provided an incentive for continued academic success. The best way to maintain excellence is to reward those students whose performance is in fact excellent. Student accomplishments have been recognized through awards ceremonies, the marquee, and the monthly newsletter.

A key component to Lakewood's future success is parent involvement. Realizing that effective communication is essential to parent involvement, we began mailing home the monthly edition of Gator Tales. Other avenues of communication are "Gator Bytes," the Lakewood web page, three-week interims and a parent/community volunteer program. These changes provide opportunities for parents to become involved in the school and also enhance effective communication between the school and home.

In our ongoing effort to make Lakewood the best high school in Sumter County, additional changes are planned for the upcoming school year. However, change without reasonable expectation for improvement will not occur. The improvements will provide every student the opportunity to earn a quality education. Students will be expected to maintain academic success before participating in extracurricular activities. Freshman Academy will be implemented for first-time ninth graders in an effort to transition middle school students to high school. Academic requirements have been added for a student to obtain and maintain a student parking decal. A Saturday work detail will be offered as an option to In School Suspension (ISS). The Dual Credit Program will provide seniors with the opportunity to take college level classes.

The momentum established in the 2002-2003 school year will be upheld because the faculty and staff are committed to offering the highest quality education possible. Please join the Lakewood family as we continue "In Pursuit of Excellence."

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS Dr. Handy Heagan, Philippai

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	55	146	46
Percent satisfied with learning environment	94.3%	72.5%	70.5%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	72.6%	53.5%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	51.9%	80.6%	64.4%

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.